

# In the Name of God

In recent years we have seen an escalation in violence inspired directly or indirectly by religion. Perhaps humanity is just by nature violent and religion is just an excuse. The terrible regimes of Hitler, Stalin and Mao show clearly how evil and violence can exist in the absence of God. Furthermore, most instances of religious violence are perversions of the religion's true goals. As much as religion may lead to violence, so may violence call upon religion for justification. Nevertheless, the recent examples of religiously driven violence are very disheartening.

Religion can embody many of the ideals of humanity. Sometimes, it is as if we take all that we consider good and make this into God. If we so do, we must be careful not to let this process lead to evil rather than to good. We must limit the way in which we use our God. Even if we do not believe in God, we must still be careful about how we put our ideals into practice.

## Ten Commandments

The Decalogue (Exodus 20: 1-17) provides the fundamentals for Judaeo-Christian morality. There are several ways to number these Ten Commandments ([summary](#) in Wikipedia). I shall follow the most common of the numbering traditions – that used by most Jewish authorities and by most Protestant churches.

The first four commandments deal with our relationship to God. The first is to have no other gods. This is easy to understand. The second is not to worship graven images. This has been interpreted in many ways. Though some say that it prohibits any representational art, it most likely means that such material objects should not be worshiped as divine. The fourth is to reserve one day of the week for God. This commandment to celebrate the Sabbath is only controversial in

terms of which day is to be so honored and what types of work are not allowed on that day.

For this posting, I am particularly concerned with the third commandment not to take the name of God in vain. This commandment is not easy to understand. The following is the commandment (first half of Exodus 20:7) in the [original Hebrew](#) (from right to left), in transliterated Hebrew, in a word-by-word translation, in the King James Version (from left to right), and in [spoken Hebrew](#):

לֹא תִשָּׂא אֶת־שֵׁם־יְהוָה אֱלֹהֶיךָ לְשׁוּא

la.shav	e.lo.hei.kha	yhwh (a.do.nai)	et-shem	ti.sa	lo
in vain	your lord	G-d (Adonai)	name	you shall take	not

**Thou shalt not take the name of the LORD thy God in vain**

<http://creatureandcreator.ca/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/Exodus-20-7-beginning.mp3>

## The Tetragrammaton

The name of God is given by four consonants, typically transliterated as YHWH (Gianotti, 1985; Meyers, 2005, pp 57-59; Durousseau, 2014). This Tetragrammaton (“four letters”) likely comes from the Hebrew verb “to be” in the third person. The first-person version of the verb is the name used by God in the episode of the burning bush – I Am That I Am (Exodus 3:14).



. Tetragrammaton, Douglas  
Larsen, 2007 .

The third commandment, which specifically concerns the Tetragrammaton, has been interpreted in many different ways. Since its meaning is not clear, many pious Jews never use the name of God in any secular context, and do not speak the actual name aloud during religious worship. Various substitutes are used, most commonly *Adonai* (my Lord) or *Elohim* (God). The King James Version uses Lord in small capitals to express the Tetragrammaton. Other translations have used Jehovah, adding to the Tetragrammaton's four consonants the vowels from the word *Adonai*. This has no real justification. The actual sound of the name was probably more like Yahweh.

### **Interpretations of the Third Commandment.**



Ancient Hebrew is not easy to translate. Michelangelo's 1515 statue of *Moses* in Rome's San Pietro in Vincoli Church shows Moses with horns. This unusual depiction derived from the Vulgate's translation of Exodus 34:29 describing Moses after he came down from Mount Sinai. The Vulgate translated the Hebrew word *karan* as *cornuta* or "horned." It is better translated as "shining," – "because light radiates and protrudes like a type of horn."(Rashi's [commentary](#)).

I have little knowledge of the Hebrew language. The following discussion of the interpretation of the third commandment therefore refers to others who know much more. The meaning of the commandment depends mainly on the verb *nasa* which is given in the second person (imperative) and on the word *shua* or *shav* used adverbially at the end of the commandment.

The verb *nasa* has been translated as "lift," "raise," "carry," "take," and "bear." Raising the name of the Lord suggests the idea of swearing by his holy name: one usually takes an oath

by raising one's hand (Benno, 1992, p 557). A common interpretation has therefore been that the third commandment prohibits the taking of an oath in God's name and then not doing what one has sworn to do (Meyers, 2005). The keeping of contracts is a necessary part of social life (Teehan, 2010; Hazony, 2010). We need to trust that someone will do what he or she has promised. Winwood Reade (1872) described the importance of the oath to early societies:

But the chief benefit which religion conferred upon mankind, whether in ancient or in modern times, was undoubtedly the oath. The priests taught that if a promise was made in the name of the gods, and that promise was broken, the gods would kill those who took their name in vain. Such is the true meaning of the Third Commandment. Before that time treaties of peace and contracts of every kind in which mutual confidence was required could only be effected by the interchange of hostages. But now by means of this purely theological device a verbal form became itself a sacred pledge: men could at all times confide in one another; and foreign tribes met freely together beneath the shelter of this useful superstition which yet survives in our courts of law. In those days, however, the oath required no law of perjury to sustain its terrors: as Xenophon wrote, "He who breaks an oath defies the gods"; and it was believed that the gods never failed sooner or later to take their revenge. (Reade, 1872, p 153).

However, this interpretation makes the third commandment similar to the seventh: "Thou shalt not bear false witness against your neighbour" (Exodus, 20: 16). One might differentiate the two by saying that the third concerns promises to do something in the future and the seventh testimony about something in the past or present, but both ideas require swearing.

If the verb *nasa* is interpreted as "carry," one can interpret the third commandment as forbidding hypocrisy. One must not

present oneself as a follower of JHWH without living by his teachings. Matthew Henry said that the commandment prohibited “making a profession of God’s name, but not living up to that profession” (Henry, 1710, p 644).

If *nasa* is interpreted simply as “take,” the meaning of the commandment is determined by the adverbial *shav*, which describes the way in which the name is not to be taken. This word *shav* is uncommon and difficult to interpret. It is usually translated as “emptiness” or “vanity.” The third commandment is therefore commonly interpreted as prohibiting the profane or trivial use of God’s name. The name of God should not be associated with angry expostulations – cursing in the everyday sense of the word. The sacred name should not be thus blasphemed.

*Shav* can also mean “illusion,” “deception” or “falseness.” Thus the commandment can forbid the invocation of God’s name in magical conjuration (Buber, 1976, p 194; Alter, 2004 p 430) – cursing in the voodoo sense of the word. Sometimes, *shav* can mean a false thing such as an idol. Thus Staples (1939) suggested that the third commandment prohibited giving the name of JHWH to other gods – assimilating other false beliefs into the true faith. This interpretation, however, makes the third commandment merely a corollary of the first two.

[Some commentators](#) have interpreted *shav* as related to *shoah*, which means “devastation,” “storm,” “disaster” or “destruction.” Childs (1974, p 411) also considers that the word may also include the idea of “malice” or “evil.” This is the meaning that I think the commandment intends: not to do evil in the name of God. This more general formulation would subsume those specific meanings already considered.

### **Evil in the Name of God**

This interpretation fits with the suggestion that the third commandment should be more widely and importantly interpreted

than is commonly done (e.g. Meyers, 2005). This would benefit its being listed as third among the ten. Gerhard von Rad has proposed

The purpose of this command is to say “no” thoroughly and completely to that desire that lies so deep in the heart of man, the desire to infringe the freedom of God (Von Rad, 2012, pp 24-25).

This idea is not easy to understand. God’s purpose is good. We should take care lest we subvert this purpose and do evil in His name.

This is perhaps the true meaning of the commandment. Religion tends so easily to the position that believers are right and infidels are wrong. Ultimately, this leads to idea that the infidels should be destroyed. Much of the Hebrew Bible describes how the Israelites waged war on those who did not believe in their God. The times have not changed. In the past fifty years, factions within all major religions have committed atrocities in the name of their God (Juergensmeyer, 2003).

John Teehan (2010) has reviewed the evolution of religious violence. He points to the division between those who believe and those who do not, the lack of critical thinking that often goes with faith, and the idea of some cosmic battle between good and evil:

The initial move is to discriminate between an in-group and an out-group, with a set of practices and/or beliefs that function as signals of commitment to the in-group. Next, there is a differential in moral evaluation of the two sides of the divide: The in-group is owed a higher level of moral consideration and accorded a greater level of moral protection than those outside the group or those who defect from the group. Thus far, this structure is not unique to religious violence, it simply flows from the basic

evolutionary strategies for allowing systems of reciprocity to develop and group cohesion to form. Religion comes into play with the integration of one or more minimally counterintuitive concepts (e.g., gods) into the moral matrix. *God* comes to represent the moral bonds that hold a community together and functions as both legislator and enforcer of the group's moral code. This gives that moral code a heightened sense of significance and obligation. Commitment to that god can then function socially and psychologically as a signal of commitment to the group. Also, by clothing the social code of the group in divine authority it can relieve the individual of responsibility for the consequences of his or her decisions ("If god commands, I must obey").

Consequent to this is that the out-group, by virtue of being the out-group, is not aligned with that god, or is not in proper relationship to that god. This further distinguishes the moral status of the two groups and leads to an escalation of the stakes at play. This becomes even more dramatic in universalist systems. In this case the out-group is not simply "other" but, in being aligned against God, is in league with evil itself. Inter-group conflict is no longer simply a competition between two groups seeking to promote their own interests, it is now a cosmic struggle with no middle ground available, and nothing short of victory acceptable. (Teehan, 2010, p 174).

### **Importance of the Third Commandment**

I am not interpreting the Decalogue as the word of God. Or at least not in the sense that a God dictated it to Moses. Whatever one's beliefs, the Ten Commandments are an impressive summary of the principles of human morality. They concisely delineate the behaviors that we have learned to forbid for the benefit of human society.

And I am not interpreting the God of the first three commandments as necessarily existing. Or as being a person



rather than a universal force like in the Eastern religions. God could easily be considered as the abstract representation of human purpose and morality: what we have set as our goal and how we wish to get there. Human beings think in this way. To do away with such religion is to remove a powerful force for good.

The problem with the idea of believing either in a real God or in an abstract principle that we call God is that it makes us think that we know the truth. We then consider those who think of God differently and those who refuse to accept the idea of God as misguided. Perhaps even evil. Since violence is part of our nature, this may sometimes lead us to do terrible things – and to do these in the name of God.

I think that those who put together the Ten Commandments had some inkling of these problems. One should not use the name of God to justify actions such as murder that are forbidden by the later commandments. Those who counsel murder in the name of God are false prophets. I am suggesting that the third commandment urges us not to use God's name to justify evil.

## References

Alter, R. (2004). *The five books of Moses: A translation with commentary*. New York: Norton.

Buber, M. (1946). *Moses*. Oxford: East and West Library. The chapter "The Words on the Tablets" on pp 119-140 is reprinted in Herberg, W. (Ed.) (1974). *The writings of Martin Buber*. New York: New American Library.

Childs, B. S. (1974). *The book of Exodus: A critical, theological commentary*. Philadelphia: Westminster Press.

Durousseau, C. H. (2014). [Yah: A name of God](#). *Jewish Bible Quarterly*, 42, 21-26.

Gianotti, C. R. (1985). The meaning of the divine name YHWH. *Biblioteca Sacra*, 142, 38-51.

Hazon, D. (2010). *The Ten Commandments: how our most ancient moral text can renew modern life*. New York: Scribner.

Henry, M. (1710) *Commentary on the Whole Bible. Volume I. Genesis to Deuteronomy*. Available at [Christian Classics Ethereal Library](#).

Jacob, B. (1940, translated by W. Jacob and Y. Elman, 1992). *The second book of the Bible: Exodus*. Hoboken, N.J: Ktav.

Juergensmeyer, M. (2003). *Terror in the mind of God: The global rise of religious violence*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Meyers, C. L. (2005). *Exodus*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Reade W. (1872). [The martyrdom of man](#).

Staples, W. E. (1939). The Third Commandment. *Journal of Biblical Literature*, 58, 325-329.

Von Rad, G. (1940, translated by Neill, S., 2012). *Moses*. Cambridge, UK: James Clark.